to lead to satisfactory agreement with the mixture rule. When large departures are observed, as in the case of solutions containing simultaneously the ions Na⁺, K⁺, Cl⁻, NO₃⁻, some specific effect is probably present and its cause may be due to slightly incomplete dissociation of one of the salts present. Outside of these exceptional cases, the regularities which we have just discussed hold whether the salts have a common ion or not

The application of the mixture rule to solutions of a given molality rather than to solutions of a given molar concentration has been quite customary. We have found that the departures between measured and calculated conductivities are of the same order of magnitude in both scales of concentration.

Summary

1. The densities and the conductivities of nine pairs of alkali halides and nitrates have been measured at a total concentration of one-molal.

2. The mixture rule has been found to be more nearly correct when the component salts have conductivities which differ but slightly.

3. Attention has been called to the wide discrepancies between measured and calculated conductivities in the case of solutions containing simultaneously the ions Na⁺, K⁺, Cl⁻ and NO₃⁻.

Stanford University, Calif. Received November 3, 1936

[CONTRIBUTION FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY, STANFORD UNIVERSITY]

Conductivities of Concentrated Binary Mixtures of Electrolytes with a Common Anion and at Least One Ion of Charge Two

BY PIERRE VAN RYSSELBERGHE, S. W. GRINNELL AND J. M. CARLSON

Introduction

In the foregoing paper, Van Rysselberghe and Nutting¹ have shown, in general agreement with previous results of Stearn and of Ruby and Kawai,² that mixtures of 1-1 salts exhibit but small departures from the mixture rule, with the exception of mixtures containing simultaneously the Na⁺, K⁺, Cl⁻ and NO₃⁻ ions for which the departure is sometimes larger than 2 conductivity units. The results seem to show that there is a rough parallelism between the maximum departure from the mixture rule observed for a series of mixtures of a given total concentration and the difference between the conductivities of the pure salts at this same concentration.

In the case of mixtures containing ions of higher valence Smith and Gortner³ observed departures as large as 7 conductivity units on a Λ of about 120 at concentrations as low as 0.01 N. In the present investigation we have studied mixtures for which extreme departures from the mixture rule were observed (cadmium halides + potassium halides) and others for which large departures were expected but were actually found to be small (magnesium chloride + alkali halides). Other

cases are of an intermediate type. Some of these inixtures have been studied previously in a less systematic manner from the point of view of complex ion formation. This older literature is reviewed in various monographs such as that of Walden.⁴ The interpretation given by the various authors is based upon the Arrhenius theory and should be carefully revised.

Experimental

The method followed in the present work is essentially the same as that used by Van Rysselberghe and Nutting.¹ Solutions were prepared on a volume concentration basis by means of calibrated glassware. The salts used were of the highest possible purity (Baker c. p. Analyzed, Merck reagent analyzed, Kahlbaum für Analyse). Several of these salts, however, are very hygroscopic, others decompose upon drying at temperatures above 120° and, although all the usual precautions were taken, the accuracy is in several cases less than $\pm 0.5\%$ on the absolute value of the conductivity. Mixtures were prepared by mixing solutions of the pure salts. Conductivities of the pure salts were measured several times, each time with a freshly prepared solution, and were found to be at least as accurate as the data available in "I. C. T." or in the Landolt-Börnstein-Roth Tables. The temperature was in all cases $25 \pm 0.02^{\circ}$. In Table I we give the measured specific conductivity, the measured equivalent conductivity, the equivalent conductivity as deduced from the mixture

Van Rysselberghe and Nutting, THIS JOURNAL, 59, 333 (1937).
Stearn, *ibid.*, 44, 670 (1922); Ruby and Kawai, *ibid.*, 48, 1119 (1926).

⁽³⁾ Smith and Gortner, J. Phys. Chem., 37, 79 (1933).

⁽⁴⁾ Walden, "Das Leitvermögen der Lösungen," in "Handbuch der allgemeinen Chemie," Akademische Verlagsgesellschaft, Leipzig, Vol. IV, Part II, 1924, pp. 257-262.

rule and the difference $\Delta\Lambda$ between measured and calculated conductivities for a series of mixtures of cadmium iodide and potassium iodide. Several of these mixtures correspond to the composition CdI₂·KI for which data were so far not available. Conductivities of mixtures corresponding to the composition CdI₂·2KI are given in the literature.

TABLE I

Conductivities	OF	MIXTURES	of	CdI₂	AND	КI	AT	25°
Composition		Eat	iiva-	Ea	uiva-			

Kquiva	alents		lent con-	lent con-	
CdI2	liter KI	Specific conductivity	ductivity measured	ductivity calculated	$\Delta\Lambda$
1.0	0	0 .01781	17.81		
1.0	0.1	.02442	22,20	26.3	- 4.1
1.0	.2	.03132	26.1 0	33.6	- 7.5
1.0	.3	.03834	29.53	39.6	-10.1
1.0	.5	.05 28 9	35.26	49.1	-13.8
1.0	.8	.07677	42.65	59.0	-16. 4
1.0	1.0	.09419	47.09	64.0	-16. 9
1.0	1.5	. 1441 3	5 7.6 5	71. 7	-14.1
1.0	2.0	, 1 9 365	64.55	76.0	-11. 5
1.0	3 .0	.28422	7 1.0 5	79.0	- 7.9
1.0	4.0	.3 59 98	72.00	76.1	- 4.1
0.2	0.1	.01 423	47.42	58. 3	-10. 9
.6	.3	.03477	38 .63	51.7	-13.1
1.0	.5	.05289	3 5 .26	49.1	-13.8
1.6	.8	.07 645	31.85	46.0	-14.2
2 .0	1.0	.09001	30.00	43.8	-13. 8
0.2	1.0	.11528	96.07	100.5	- 4 .4
.4	1.0	.11179	79.85	87.6	- 7.7
1.0	1.0	. 09 419	47.09	64.0	-16. 9
2.0	1.0	.09001	30.00	43.8	-13.8

In order to follow the trend of $\Delta\Lambda$ in each series, the data for three mixtures $(1 \text{ CdI}_2 + 0.5 \text{ KI}, 1 + 1, 2 + 1)$ are given twice in the table. The conductivities of the pure salts, used in the calculated Λ 's, were taken from smooth curves obtained by plotting all the data available in the literature.

In Table II we give the conductivities of eight groups of mixtures arranged in series corresponding to the same total equivalent concentration. We represent by x and 1 - x the fractions of the total equivalent concentration corresponding to salts 1 and 2, salt 1 being the first in the title of the series. Some of the data given for the CdI₂ + KI mixtures were obtained from curves drawn by means of the data of Table I.

TABLE II

Conductivities	OF	CONCENTRATED	MIXTURES	AT	25°
Composition	Speci	fic .			

*	1 - x	tivity	Measured	Calculated	44
	1.1	0. 5 N mi	ixtures of C	$CdI_2 + KI$	•
1	0		21.4		
3/2	1/ a		43.8	54.8	11.0
1/2	1/2		56.8	71.5	14.7
1/4	8/4	0.04355	87.10	96.6	9.5
0	1	, 0 6083	1 2 1.6 6		

	1.	2 1 N mi	xtures of C	$dI_2 + KI$	
1	0	0.01781	17.81		
¹¹ / ₁₂	$1/_{12}$.02230	22.30	26.12	3.82
2/3	1/8		38.0	51.02	13.0
1/2	$1/_{2}$		51.6	67.64	16.0
1/4	8/4		83.5	92.56	9.1
1/8	7/8	.10001	100.01	104.98	4.97
0	1	.11746	117.46		
	1.	3 2 N mi	xtures of C	$dI_2 + KI$	
1	0	0 02835	14 18		
2/_	1/.	0.02000	33 2	47 A	14 2
1/.	1/.	00410	47 1	63 0	16.9
0	1	.2274	113.7	00.5	10.8
	21	1 N mivt	ures of Cd	Bra ⊥ KBr	
	2.1	0.00100	01 00		
1	1/	0.02109	21.09	07 00	0.10
•/•	1/6	.03396	33.90	37.08	3,12
•/•	*/8	.04000	40.00	53.00	7.00
1/2	1/2	.05930	59.30	69.05	9.75
1/ 8	*/*	.07620	76.20	85.03	8,83
0	1	. 11701	117.01		
	2.2	2 N mixt	ures of Cd	$Br_2 + KBr$	
1	0	0.0 29 09	14.54		
6/6	1/6	.051 9 5	25.97	30.58	4.61
2/2	1/3	.07109	35.54	46.61	11.07
1/2	1/2	.10114	50.57	62.64	12.07
1/8	²/ 3	.13601	68.00	78.68	10.68
0	1	.2215	110.75		
	3.1	1 N mix	tures of Cd	$ Cl_2 + KC $	
1	0	0.02264	22.64		
5/6	1/6	.03417	34.17	35.86	1.69
2/3	1/8	.04739	47.39	52.40	5,01
1/2	1/2	.06161	61.61	67.27	5.66
1/2	$\frac{2}{8}$.07717	77.17	82.15	4.98
1/6	5/0	.09444	94.44	97.02	2.58
0	1	,11190	111.90		
	3.2	$2 N \min$	tures of Cd	$ Cl_2 + KC $	
1	0	0.02820	14.10		
5/8	1/4	.04903	24.51	29.34	4.83
2/.	1/2	.07453	37.26	44 58	7 32
1/.	1/	10329	51.64	59 81	8 17
1/.	2/,	13601	68.00	75.05	7 05
1/.	5/.	17292	86 46	90.29	3.83
Ó	1	.21106	105.53	00.40	0.00
	4	1 1 <i>N</i> mi	stures of Z	nI₀ + KI	
1	0	0 07893	70 92		
2/.	1/.	0.01020	00.07	01 20	1 92
/8	/ 3	09637	06.37	91.90	1.20
1/2	2/.	10269	102 62	104 27	0.75
-/8 1/.	5/.	110002	110 02	110 02	-0.05
0	76 1	.11746	117.46	110.02	-0.00
	4	2 2 N mi	vtures of 7	nī. ⊥ 17ī	
1	0	0 1233.4	61 66	••••2 T KI	
2/.	1/.	15422	77 11	78 62	1 51
/8 1/2	/3	16086	84 03	87 10	2 17
/ z 1/.	2/2	18743	03 71	95 57	2.86
1/.	۲ کا ا	20644	103 22	104 06	0.84
1	ó	.22507	112.53	101.00	0.01

		TABLE I	I (Conclu	ded)	
Comp	osition	Specific conduc-	Equivalent o	onductivity	- 44
*	4	3 4 N min	tures of Z	$T_{a} \perp KT$	- 44
1	0	0 11914	00 51	112 121	
1 5/.	1/.	1510	27 07	41 54	<u>ס</u> פיט די
7/6 2/	-/6 1/	. 1019	01.91 16 00	41.04 22.16	0.07 6.96
-/ 3 1 /	-/8 1/	. 10/2	40.00 59.15	81 00	6.04
² /2	•/2 2/	. 2320	08.10 70.17	04.99	0.04
*/ s 1 /	-/3 5/.	.2807	70.17 09 57	10.81 00.80	5.05
0	-76 1	. 5545	100.45	00.0 <i>4</i>	0.00
U .	4	.4 6 N mix	tures of Zr	$_{1I_{2}} + KI$	
1	0	0 0833	13 88		
5/.	1/.	1229	20.48	25 18	4 70
2/0	1/.	1691	28.18	36 48	8.30
1/2	1/2	2367	39 45	47 78	8.33
1/2	2/2	3093	51 55	59 08	7 53
1/.	5/.	4079	67.98	70.38	2 40
<u>^</u>	1	4901	81 68	10.00	2.10
0	5.1	1 N mixtu	res of CdS	$D_4 + K_3 S($) 4
1	0	0.02852	28 52	04 11200	~1
5/.	1/.	03510	35.10	37 40	2 30
2/.	1/.	04328	43 28	46 27	2.00
-/8 1/-	-/8 1/.	.04020	51 95	55 15	2.99
-/2 1/	-/2 2/-	.00100	61 09	64 02	9.05
-/ 8 1/.	-/ 3	.00108	71.04	72.00	4.90
-/• 0	1	.07104	81 78	12.90	1.80
0	6_1	2 N mixtur	or.ro	$L \perp M \sigma S \ell$	<u>р.</u>
1	0.1	0 04950	91 75	4 1 11180	.
1 5/	1/	0.045500	21,70 00 01	00 01	0.00
•/6 •/	*/6 1/	.04402	22.31	22.31	0.00
°/3	*/8	.04575	22.87	22.80	01
*/s	*/2	.04091	23.45	23.42	03
1/8	*/8 ×/	.04791	23.95	23.97	.02
1/6 0	1	.04903	24.51	24.03	.02
0	1 6.9	. UDU18	20.09) I. Masi	2
	0.2	4 /v mixtui		4 + Mgo	J4
1	0	0.05486	13.71		
•/6	1/6	.05527	13.82	13.78	-0.04
*/s	1/8	.05589	13.97	13.86	11
$\frac{1}{2}$	1/2	.05621	14.05	13.99	06
1/8	²/ 8 = /	.05661	14.15	14.01	14
1/8	°/6	.05684	14.21	14.08	13
0	1 7 1	.05/11 1 Nomintu	14.28 ros of Mac		1
	0	1 10 IIIXLU		$_{12} \pm \text{Nac}$	-1
1	0	0.07177	71.77	F 4 1 0	0.00
°/6	1/6	.07390	73.90	74.13	0.23
² /8	1/3	.07628	76.28	76.56	.28
1/2	$\frac{1}{2}$.07869	78.69	79.02	.33
1/3	²/3	.08091	80.91	81.42	.51
1/6	°/6	.08357	83.57	83.85	.28
U	1 79	.08628 2 M minter	50.28 mos of M~(N.⊥ NoC	' 1
	1.2			-12 + INaC	
1	U 1 /	0.11714	58.57	a1 00	0.15
•/6	*/6 1/	.12230	01.15	01.30	0.15
°/8	*/8 1/	. 12749	03.74	04.03	.27
*/2 1/	*/2 2/	, 13319 19957	00.09	00.10	. 10
"/8 1/	-/3 5/	14499	09.28 79.11	08.40 79.91	.20
-76 0	1	14086	74 02	1 شرب سه ۲	. 10
~	-	, <u> </u>	1 1 . 00		

	7.3	4 N mixtu	res of Mg($Cl_2 + NaCl$	
1	0	0.15935	39.84		
\$/8	1/6	.17011	42 .53	42 .66	0.13
2/8	1/3	.18102	45.25	45.89	.64
$1/_{2}$	$1/_{2}$. 19276	48 .19	48.76	. 57
1/3	2/3	. 20484	51.21	51.94	.73
1/6	5 /6	.21732	54.33	54 .96	.63
0	1	.23077	57.69		
	7.4	5 N mixtu	res of Mg($Cl_2 + NaCl$	
1	0	0.16187	32.37		
5/6	1/8	.17462	34.92	35. 28	0.36
² / ₃	1/3	,18822	37.64	38.18	. 54
$1/_{2}$	$1/_{2}$.20219	40.44	41.09	.65
1/3	2/3	.21686	43.37	43.99	. 62
1/8	5/6	.23287	46.57	46.90	. 3 3
0	1	. 24906	49.81		
	8.1	5 N mixtu	res of Mg	$Cl_3 + LiCl$	
1	0	0.16187	32.37		
5/6	1/8	.16603	33.21	33.25	0. 04
² /8	$^{1}/_{3}$.17008	34.02	34.13	.11
$1/_{2}$	$1/_{2}$.17456	34.91	35.01	.10
1/3	² /3	. 17894	35.79	35.89	.10
1/8	5/6	.18352	36.70	36.77	.07
0	1	. 18823	37.65		
	8.2	9 N mixtu	res of Mg	$Cl_2 + LiCl$	
1	8.2 0	9 N mixtu 0.10386	tres of Mg 11.54	$Cl_2 + LiCl$	
1 5/8	$8.2 \\ 0 \\ \frac{1}{_{6}}$	9 N mixtu 0.10386 .11120	ures of Mg 11.54 12.36	$Cl_2 + LiCl$ 12.44	0 .08
1 5/6 2/3	8.2 0 1/6 1/3	9 N mixtu 0.10386 .11120 .11864	ures of Mg 11.54 12.36 13.18	$Cl_2 + LiCl$ 12.44 13.34	0. 08 .16
1 5/6 2/3 1/2	8.2 0 $\frac{1}{6}$ $\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$	9 N mixtu 0.10386 .11120 .11864 .12538	tres of Mg 11.54 12.36 13.18 13.93	Cl₂ + LiCl 12.44 13.34 14.23	0. 08 .16 .30
1 5/6 2/3 1/2 1/3	8.2 0 $1/_{6}$ $1/_{3}$ $1/_{2}$ $2/_{3}$	9 N mixtu 0.10386 .11120 .11864 .12538 .13448	ures of Mg 11.54 12.36 13.18 13.93 14.94	Cl₂ + LiCl 12.44 13.34 14.23 15.13	0. 08 .16 .30 .1 9
1 5/6 2/3 1/2 1/3 1/6	8.2 0 $1/_{6}$ $1/_{3}$ $1/_{2}$ $2/_{3}$ $5/_{6}$	9 N mixtu 0.10386 .11120 .11864 .12538 .13448 .14337	ures of Mg 11.54 12.36 13.18 13.93 14.94 15.93	$Cl_2 + LiCl$ 12.44 13.34 14.23 15.13 16.03	0.08 .16 .30 .19 .10
1 ⁵ / ₆ ² / ₃ ¹ / ₂ ¹ / ₃ ¹ / ₆ 0	8.2 0 $\frac{1}{6}$ $\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{5}{6}$ 1	9 N mixtu 0.10386 .11120 .11864 .12538 .13448 .14337 .15236	tres of Mg 11.54 12.36 13.18 13.93 14.94 15.93 16.93	Cl ₂ + LiCl 12.44 13.34 14.23 15.13 16.03	0.08 .16 .30 .19 .10

Discussion

If we classify the various groups of mixtures studied in the present paper according to the difference between the conductivities of the pure salts, we obtain Table III in which are given, for each type of mixture, this difference, λ , and the maximum departure from the mixture rule for the series, $\Delta \Lambda$.

If we except a few irregularities and make allowances for the experimental error, we notice a marked parallelism between λ and $\Delta \Lambda$. We consider this very simple observation as particularly significant and illuminating in view of the fact that several of the mixtures certainly contain large amounts of complex ions (CdI₃⁻, CdI₄⁻⁻, etc.). A survey of the other data in the literature has convinced us that this parallelism is quite general, provided one excludes mixtures containing an acid and mixtures for which the sign of $\Delta \Lambda$ changes as concentration increases. Cases of this type of behavior have been reported by Smith and Gortner.³ It seems worth mentioning that,

TABLE III						
	Mixtures	λ	$-\Delta\Lambda$			
0.5 N	$CdI_2 + KI$	100.26	14.7			
1 N	$CdI_2 + KI$	99.65	16.0			
2 N	$CdI_2 + KI$	99.52	16.8			
2 N	$CdBr_2 + KBr$	96.21	12.07			
1 N	$CdBr_2 + KBr$	95.92	9.75			
2 N	$CdCl_2 + KCl$	91.43	8.17			
1 N	$CdCl_2 + KCl$	89.26	5.66			
4 N	$ZnI_2 + KI$	70.92	6.84			
6 N	$ZnI_2 + KI$	67.80	8.33			
1 N	$CdSO_4 + K_2SO_4$	53.26	3.30			
2 N	$ZnI_2 + KI$	50.87	2.8 6			
1 N	$ZnI_2 + KI$	39.23	1.47			
4 N	$MgCl_2 + NaCl$	17.85	0.73			
5 N	$MgCl_2 + NaCl$	17.44	. 65			
2 N	$MgCl_2 + NaCl$	16.36	.27			
1 N	$MgCl_2 + NaCl$	14.51	. 51			
9 N	$MgCl_2 + LiCl$	5.39	. 30			
5 N	$MgCl_2 + LiCl$	5.28	.11			
2 N	$CdSO_4 + MgSO_4$	3.34	. 0 3			
4 N	$CdSO_4 + MgSO_4$	0.57	. 14			

for several mixtures of cadmium and zinc halides with the corresponding potassium salt, the equivalent conductivity is smaller than the contribution deduced from the mixture rule for the potassium salt alone.

Summary

1. Specific and equivalent conductivities of eight groups of binary mixtures of 2-1, 2-2 and 1-1 electrolytes with a common anion have been measured at total equivalent concentrations ranging from 0.5 to 9 N.

2. It is pointed out that there is a marked parallelism between the difference of the conductivities of the pure salts and the maximum departure from the mixture rule observed with the mixtures of the same total concentration.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY, CALIF.

Received November 3, 1936

[CONTRIBUTION FROM THE CHEMICAL LABORATORY OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA]

Solubility. XV. The Solubility of Liquid and Solid Stannic Iodide in Silicon Tetrachloride

By J. H. HILDEBRAND AND G. R. NEGISHI

Stannic iodide and silicon tetrachloride differ considerably in molecular size and very greatly in internal pressure or molecular field strength, so much so, indeed, that not only is the solubility of solid stannic iodide at 25° only 3% of the ideal solubility, but also in the liquid state they form two liquid phases below 140°. This system is particularly adapted to an investigation of the critical mixing phenomenon in its simplest aspects, because it is free from the complications introduced by the presence of electric dipoles or hydrogen bonds such as are present in most known cases of incomplete liquid miscibility. We have turned to it, therefore, in an effort to throw light upon the question of the extent to which the randomness of thermal mixing is affected by the tendency toward molecular clustering due to differences in the strength of intermolecular forces.¹

The substances were prepared and purified by methods previously described.² The solubility of solid stannic iodide from 0 to 40° was determined by analysis of a solution saturated by shaking in a thermostat, as in earlier investi-

(1) Cf. (a) E. A. Guggenheim, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London), **148A**, 304 (1935); (b) J. H. Hildebrand, Chem. Rev., **18**, 315 (1936); Trans. Faraday Soc., in press, "Symposium on the Liquid State" September, 1936. gations in this Laboratory. The bulb containing a sample of saturated solution was placed, for analysis, in a thickwalled glass-stoppered 750-cc. flask containing 100 to 150 cc. of water. The air was displaced by carbon dioxide to prevent oxidation of iodide ion. The bulb was then broken and the flask shaken till the reaction was complete. The contents were transferred to a 500-cc. separatory funnel, about 25 cc. of carbon tetrachloride and a little concentrated tartaric acid solution added and the iodide ion oxidized to iodine by permanganate.³ The iodine was extracted, separated and titrated with thiosulfate. The method proved rapid, simple and accurate. Three determinations of solubility were made at each temperature, 0.2, 25 and 40° , and the largest deviation from the mean was 0.5%.

The determinations at higher temperatures were made by the "synthetic method." The stannic iodide was introduced into each tube in a dry box, the air in the tube displaced by strongly dried and purified nitrogen and the stannic iodide melted into a single mass. The tube was then evacuated and a capillary seal broken, permitting the distillation of silicon chloride into it, after which it was sealed. The tube was weighed, both empty and after each substance had been added.

The solution temperature for each tube was determined in an oil-bath contained in a one-gallon (4-liter) unsilvered Dewar flask and heated electrically. Near the solution temperature the rate of heating was slow, 0.01° or less per

⁽²⁾ G. R. Negishi, THIS JOURNAL, 58, 2293 (1936).

⁽³⁾ W. C. Bray and C. M. J. Mackay, ibid., 32, 1193 (1910).